

(c)—

*(Rupees in lakhs).**Total amount.*      *Amount apportioned.*

Bombay	...	899.48	125.40
Hyderabad	...	491.17	50.98
Madras	...	135.70	5.58

(d) 14.57% of Rupee equivalent of £ 28,950 held in the State Bank of India, London (Rs. 3'86 lakhs) has been received. There is another Bank wherein some amount is stated to have been held.

(e) In the case of Bombay and Hyderabad we have received our share in the investments securities and shares in various companies except a few like tea-cum-rubber Company Shares for Rs. 7,400 which are under the process of transfer. In the case of Madras some of the items have been allocated and the remaining are under correspondence.

(f) (1) The unissued stores of the ex-Hyderabad Agriculture and Public Works Department have not yet been transferred as per recommendations of the Chavan Committee. The matter has now been referred to the Government of India for final decision.

(2) Full particulars regarding the capital expenditure incurred prior to 1st November 1956 in the different areas are still awaited from the concerned State Governments. Some figures have, however, been received from Maharashtra and Andhra Pradesh Governments which are under the scrutiny of the concerned Department. The Capital expenditure up to 1st November 1956 has to be allocated on the basis of the assets created in the various areas, and then the Public Debt will have to be divided on the basis of the capital expenditure so allocated. It may be mentioned that the assets created, viz., Irrigation Projects, Power Houses, Roads, Canals stand allocated and what is pending is only allocation of values according to A. G.'s books to each State and transfer of Public Debt in that ratio in lieu of population basis provisionally agreed to.

(3) The accounts of Civil Supplies Department in ex-Bombay and ex-Hyderabad States for the period prior to 1st November 1956 are under scrutiny by the combined audit staff of the three successor States, as agreed upon at the Inter-State Conference. Final action will be taken after receipt of the audit report.

(4) The question of apportionment of assets and liabilities of District Board, Bidar and Coimbatore is under correspondence with the concerned State Governments.

(5) It may be seen that majority of items have already been decided.

**Amount received by the Government of Mysore as their Share of the Assets from the former Nizam's Government now released from the various Banks of United Kingdom.**

\*Q.—877. Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA (Suratkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government of Mysore have received the full quota of their share of the assets from the former Nizam's Government, now released from the various banks of United Kingdom;

(b) if so what is the amount due or claimed by this Government;

(c) out of this, what is the amount already received ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—

(a) Yes.

(b) and (c), 14·57 per cent of the Rupee equivalent of £ 28,950 held in the State Bank of India, London (Rs. 3·86 lakhs) has been received. There is another Bank where some amount is stated to have been held.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Sir, loans have been transferred to us on population basis from the erstwhile States of Bombay and Hyderabad as a result of reorganisation of States on the population basis. I wish to know from the Government whether to that extent any capital asset has been created in the territories that have come to Mysore ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, both the assets and liabilities will have to be shared by the new States which are formed and it is according to the States Re-organisation Act.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The idea is, whether the loan floated *i.e.*, 10 crores, was utilised for any capital assets in the parts that came to Mysore area ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know whether any capital assets have been created in the integrated territories ; utilisation of this particular loan in this area. Supposing 3 crores is obtained from Bombay ; whether any amount is spent out of that for that area.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, supposing the Bombay Government raised a loan from the public for the construction of Ghataprabha then we have to pay for that. To that extent, Ghataprabha is an asset.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that Mysore Government owns buildings in Madras City and Bombay City ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it outside the State jurisdiction or with in the States jurisdiction ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Inside.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member wants to know regarding buildings which were in the State areas, it comes under the public debt. So, that amount will have to be adjusted between the State concerned. The purpose of the question appears to be, whether the Government has taken into consideration all the assets which are lying outside the transferred areas concerned ?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, we have taken into consideration both. For example, if Bombay Government have constructed a number of buildings, then the public debts would be increased to their credit. So, all assets have been taken—inside and outside.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—Supposing the Bombay Government owns buildings in Bombay City and Madras Government in Madras City. Has the value of these buildings been taken into consideration and a share has been allocated to us?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Yes, Sir.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—Supplementary to (b). Does it include the Salarung Museaum also? The Chief Minister has once said that he is trying to get something out of it.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—If it was a Government building, then, we will get a share.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Is it a fact that the Government of Mysore was not aware about some amount deposited in the State Bank of India, London, until the Central Government themselves informed them about this fact?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Please put it clearly.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Whether Government of Mysore was aware of the fact that the former Hyderabad Government had deposited some amount in the State Bank of India, London and when this Government came to know about this?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, in the list of assets and liabilities all these facts were mentioned. Mysore Government knew about it but we could not take action unilaterally.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Which is the other Bank in which some amount is said to have been deposited?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, the amount that is likely to be received by the Government of Mysore is about Rs. 20 lakhs. But, I am not prepared to disclose the name of the bank for a particular purpose.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Evidently there is some complication; does the member know the origin of the deposit?

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—We have some facts, Sir. Whether the Government is aware that the former Hyderabad Government has deposited this amount in the Bank of Pakistan?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, I do not know about the information of the Hon'ble Member. But, I cannot disclose the name of the Bank, because the Government of India have asked us not to disclose the name.

**Smt. VIJAYADEVI RAGHAVENDRA RAO.**—Is there combined audit for the accounts for three successors States? Is there combined committee for the other items?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Yes. They have nearly completed their account.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know the basis on which the apportionment of the balance was done on 31st October 1956?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, it is on the population basis and we can take between Bombay and Hyderabad as 14 and 15 per cent.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಚ್. —ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್, ಮಹಾರಾಜು ಮತ್ತು ಮುಂಬಯಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ತ್ವರಿತವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸರಕಾರಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ವೋಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಮಗೆ ಬಂದಂಥ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಏಪ್ಪು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಇನ್ನೂ ಆ ಅಕ್ಷೌಣಿ ಫ್ರೆನಲ್ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನಂತರ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, the Finance Minister said that we were going to realise Rs. 20 lakhs from that unknown bank. May I know the amount deposited there?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, our share of the amount is roughly Rs. 20 lakhs. The whole matter is not yet settled. We are not sure if we are going to get the money at all. If we get it, it would be about Rs. 20 lakhs.

1.00 P.M.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—In reply to (b) you have said that the Mysore Government's share has not yet been transferred may I know what is the difficulty in getting the shares transferred early?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Roughly Sir, the accounts are finalised. Some more adjustment is to be made. It is to be finalised very shortly.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know on what basis the Government of Mysore is claiming that their share is round about Rs. 20 lakhs?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Percentage on population basis.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I agree with that Sir. I want to know to claim Rs. 20 lakhs, what is the basis and what is the money left in that unknown Bank.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What the Hon'ble Minister says is that it cannot and it should not be disclosed. He says our State quota will be 20 lakhs.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the money that is left in the unknown Bank? Is it Rs. 80 lakhs or one crore?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The basis is 14—15 per cent and on that basis the amount which is due to Mysore Government will be Rs. 20 lakhs. Let the Hon'ble Member work out what it will be if it is 100 per cent?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is Rs. 140 lakhs, then we will get Rs. 20 lakhs.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know what is the basis on which you claim that the State's share is Rs. 20 lakhs. What is the money that is in deposit in that unknown Bank. It is a very simple question.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is nothing more which I can say. The Hon'ble Member is very clear about my reply. What he wants, I do not know Sir.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—With reference to the answer that certain matters are still under correspondence pending final settlement, may I know how much Government expect to the total assets after the matter is finalised.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—From the figures which have been supplied, roughly it is finalised. There are very small sums which are to be settled.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—In the case of Bombay and Hyderabad, we have received only the share of investment in securities. That is the reply. May I know the value of investment that has been received?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—The total face value of the shares : *Bombay* Total face value of the shares held in the companies actually functioning was Rs. 1,86,09,500 plus £ 175. Value of the shares in companies under liquidation Rs. 8,20,200, plus £ 10,130. That is, the total amount held under shares was Rs. 1,94,29,700 plus £ 10,305.

**Hyderabad.**—Shares in the companies outside the State have been allocated on population ratio and our shares comes to Rs. 22.44 lakhs.

Relevant scrips have been received except a few worth Rs. 7,400 in respect of concerns in Ceylon and Rs. 1,200 in respect of concerns in Pakistan. In respect of assets in Pakistan, the Andhra Pradesh Government have taken up the matter with the Government of India.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Was it a fact that the Nizam Palace in Bombay which was the property of Nizam was deemed to be the personal property of the Nizam and was excluded from the list of assets?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Whatever properties have been mentioned as properties belonging to the ex-Hyderabad State, they will be shared. If anything is deemed as personal property, we have no right.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Is there any scope for doubt? I suppose it was conceded by the Instrument of Accession. Whatever has been taken over as State property has been taken over. Has the Hon'ble Member seen that agreement?

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—No, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Members must be better informed.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know which are the other companies in which these shares value has not been determined?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—I have no information regarding other companies. But the amount that is involved is very negligible and small.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—Non-issued stores in Hyderabad Agricultural and P. W. Departments. What is the worth of the stores in regard to them, that the State Government is entitled to have from the ex-Hyderabad Government, Sir?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, they are not yet finalised. It is to be finalised.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know why the Government is finding this an uphill task to disclose or settle the question of assets and liabilities between Coimbatore and Bidar district boards?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—They will be finalised very shortly. They have come to a close. It is a very small amount.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—So far as capital expenditure is concerned, it is not on the basis of population. Why was it not possible to have this basis in respect of transfer of public loans?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—On this point, there cannot be any dispute. The provisions of section 82 of the S.R. Act govern the apportionment of the public debt relating to the pre-reorganisations States.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—The value of unissued stores in the Agricultural and P. W. Department?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—We have no correct information regarding the worth or value. When we have got the final letter from the Government of India, we will be in a position to know.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know whether the Government of Mysore has examined what public interests are affected by disclosing the name of the Bank in which they have got to claim that share?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—We know it will affect. On the contrary it is better that we keep quiet.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It was said that the Government of India wanted not to disclose it. That is how I understood it. Whatever may be the apprehension of Hon'ble Members, when the Government of India has given a direction that it should not be disclosed, the State Government is bound by it.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—At least the place can be disclosed, Sir.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—I am not prepared to disclose the place also.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know the period which the Government requires to completely finalise and settle all these matters?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—We may not require more time. All the accounts have been nearly finalised. We will be able complete it in a very short time.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Sir, is it a fact that the Madras Government has refused to share the High Court revenues with the Government of Mysore on certain technical matters in respect of Bellary and South Kanara Districts?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—I do not know whether the High Courts have got any revenue.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—By way of Stamp duties and duties on similar things?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—It is the Government which has to get. It is not for the High Court.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—In getting all these assets and liabilities finally settled, may I know whether the liability exceeds or we will be beneficial so far as the assets are concerned?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—I do not want to disclose it. If the Honourable Member is interested in knowing it, when the accounts are settled, the liabilities will be more for us.

**Sri H. R. KESHAVAL MURTHY.**—May I know whether these assets are approachable or they are only mirages?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—In the Finance Department we have not seen anywhere approachable and unapproachable amounts.